

Shock-Induced Vibrations and Atmospheric Overpressure for Eco-Conscious Mining

Mehtab Khandelwal,

Student, Department of Mining Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (Indian School of Mines),
Dhanbad, Jharkhand, India

Abstract

The eco-conscious mining paradigm necessitates a detailed understanding of the environmental impacts of blasting operations, particularly shock-induced ground vibrations and atmospheric overpressure (airblast). These phenomena can cause structural damage, disturb communities, and degrade the surrounding environment. This paper synthesizes contemporary research on the prediction, control, and mitigation of these effects, emphasizing machine learning and data-driven models. It aims to bridge scientific advancement with sustainable mining practices. The study also explores regulatory frameworks, environmental thresholds, and engineering controls essential to reducing the ecological footprint of mining operations. An integrated and interdisciplinary approach is proposed for achieving safe, efficient, and environmentally responsible mining.

Keywords:

eco-conscious mining, blast-induced vibrations, atmospheric overpressure, airblast, ground vibration, peak particle velocity, artificial neural networks

1. Introduction

Blasting is a critical activity in both surface and underground mining operations due to its effectiveness in fragmenting hard rock masses for excavation and processing. However, this energy-intensive method results in unintended environmental side effects. Ground vibrations and atmospheric overpressure are among the most significant concerns, affecting nearby structures, natural habitats, and human populations. The eco-conscious mining philosophy emphasizes the need for sustainable techniques that balance resource extraction with environmental protection. In this context, understanding, predicting, and mitigating these impacts is essential.

The purpose of this paper is to consolidate research findings related to shock-induced vibrations and airblast phenomena, evaluate modern predictive technologies including machine learning, and present engineering strategies and policy recommendations. As global awareness about environmental preservation increases, there is a pressing need for mining operations to adopt scientific and technological innovations that ensure minimal disruption to ecosystems and communities.

2. Ground Vibrations and Air Overpressure: Mechanisms and Impacts

Ground vibrations are generated by the propagation of seismic energy from the detonation of explosives through the earth's crust. These waves—primarily P-waves (compressional), S-waves (shear), and Rayleigh waves—travel outward from the blast site, with intensity diminishing over distance but influenced by geological heterogeneity, blast design, and charge configuration. Ground vibrations are

quantified using Peak Particle Velocity (PPV), typically expressed in mm/s. PPV thresholds are regulated to prevent structural damage.

Air overpressure, or airblast, refers to the excess pressure wave that travels through the atmosphere following a blast. It is primarily influenced by the explosive charge's proximity to the surface, stemming from the rapid release of gases. Overpressure is measured in decibels (dB) or Pascals (Pa), and excessive levels can cause auditory damage, rattling of windows, or structural cracks. According to Faramarzi et al. (2014), prolonged exposure to elevated overpressure can adversely affect human well-being and lead to the deterioration of fragile ecosystems.

3. Factors Affecting Blast-Induced Vibrations and Overpressure

Numerous variables contribute to the magnitude and spread of blast-induced effects:

- **Charge Weight and MIC (Maximum Instantaneous Charge):** Larger charges or improper timing can lead to heightened energy release, amplifying both ground and atmospheric disturbances (Odeyemi et al., 2023).
- **Burial Depth:** Shallow charges are more likely to result in elevated air overpressure due to insufficient confinement.
- **Blast Pattern and Delay Timing:** Misfired or poorly timed detonations can cause constructive interference, increasing vibrations.
- **Geology and Stratigraphy:** Rock properties, layering, and moisture content influence wave propagation characteristics.

A comprehensive understanding of these parameters is necessary for effective blast design and environmental protection.

4. Prediction Techniques and Modeling Approaches

Early methods for predicting ground vibration and air overpressure relied on empirical models, such as the USBM (United States Bureau of Mines) and Langefors-Kihlstrom equations. While simple, these models lack the flexibility to accommodate complex and site-specific conditions.

Modern techniques have evolved to include computational modeling and artificial intelligence (AI). Khandelwal and Singh (2009) introduced artificial neural networks (ANNs) as powerful tools for modeling nonlinear interactions among variables. Their ANN models yielded higher predictive accuracy than conventional regression-based methods.

Sawmliana et al. (2007) extended ANN applications to air overpressure prediction, showcasing their capability to process large, multi-dimensional datasets. These models can adapt to new data, improving over time, thus offering a dynamic approach to blast management.

5. Machine Learning and Big Data in Blasting Analytics

Machine learning (ML) represents a paradigm shift in how mining data is utilized. Algorithms such as Random Forests, Support Vector Machines, and Gradient Boosted Trees are being used to model complex interactions in blasting scenarios. Dumakor-Dupey et al. (2021) conducted a comprehensive review of machine learning applications in predicting blast-induced effects. Their findings suggest that ML not only

increases accuracy but also enhances decision-making by identifying key influencing factors and optimizing blast designs.

Moreover, big data analytics enable real-time monitoring and predictive maintenance in blasting. Integration with IoT sensors and drones allows for continuous environmental monitoring, providing instant feedback and enabling adaptive strategies.

6. Combined Assessment and Multi-Objective Optimization

Faramarzi et al. (2014) argued for simultaneous evaluation of ground vibration and air overpressure to ensure holistic safety. Multi-objective optimization frameworks incorporate environmental, safety, and economic considerations. These frameworks leverage genetic algorithms or evolutionary strategies to derive optimal blast parameters.

Such integrated systems help mitigate trade-offs—for example, minimizing ground vibration might inadvertently increase air overpressure if not handled correctly. Therefore, joint optimization ensures balanced performance across all impact domains.

7. Regulatory Frameworks and Compliance Standards

Regulatory bodies such as the DGMS (Directorate General of Mines Safety) in India and MSHA (Mine Safety and Health Administration) in the United States set permissible limits for vibration and airblast levels. For instance, the typical limit for ground vibration is 5 mm/s near residential areas, while air overpressure is often capped at 134 dB.

Eco-conscious mining demands strict adherence to these standards, supported by continuous monitoring and reporting. Regulatory compliance also includes stakeholder communication, community engagement, and periodic environmental audits. Odeyemi et al. (2023) highlighted that exceeding MIC thresholds not only violates standards but also attracts legal and reputational consequences.

8. Engineering Controls and Environmental Mitigation

Engineering solutions are pivotal in reducing the transmission of energy to unintended zones:

- **Blast Mats and Stemming:** Use of rubber mats and effective stemming materials can significantly reduce flyrock and airblast.
- **Buffer Zones and Barriers:** Establishing green belts or acoustic barriers around the mine can absorb shockwaves.
- **Blast Timing and Sequencing:** Precision timing systems help prevent constructive interference and reduce peak energies.

Anas et al. (2021) explored the structural integrity of shelters designed to withstand blast effects. Lessons from military and civil defense can be translated to mining, especially in high-risk areas near urban settlements.

9. Social and Ecological Considerations

Blasting activities often intersect with local communities and ecologically sensitive areas. Public perception, noise complaints, and wildlife disturbance are common issues. Community-based environmental monitoring and transparent data sharing can build trust.

Blasting impacts can also trigger habitat fragmentation, soil compaction, and groundwater disruption. Incorporating environmental impact assessments (EIAs) into the planning process can help forecast and manage such risks. Noise & Vibration Worldwide (Khandelwal & Singh, 2005) documented multiple instances where community feedback led to revised blast designs and reduced complaints.

10. Future Trends and Innovations

The mining industry is transitioning toward automation and sustainability. Innovations such as drone-assisted surveys, AI-guided blast design, and virtual simulations are gaining traction. Augmented reality tools allow planners to visualize blast impacts before execution.

Hybrid predictive systems that combine empirical models with machine learning offer robust and scalable solutions. Research continues into bio-inspired algorithms and quantum computing for real-time blast impact prediction.

11. Conclusion

Eco-conscious mining demands a strategic blend of scientific insight, predictive modeling, engineering innovation, and community involvement. By adopting machine learning and sensor-based analytics, mining companies can predict and control shock-induced vibrations and atmospheric overpressure with high precision. Regulatory compliance, stakeholder engagement, and continuous innovation are essential pillars of a sustainable blasting framework. The integration of environmental science and data technology marks a transformative shift toward safer, cleaner, and more responsible mining practices.

References

- Khandelwal, M., & Singh, T. N. (2005). Prediction of Blast Induced Air Overpressure in Opencast Mine. In *Noise & Vibration Worldwide* (Vol. 36, Issue 2, pp. 7–16). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1260/0957456053499095>
- Faramarzi, Farhad, Mohammad Ali Ebrahimi Farsangi, and Hamid Mansouri. 2014. “Simultaneous Investigation of Blast Induced Ground Vibration and Airblast Effects on Safety Level of Structures and Human in Surface Blasting.” *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology*. Elsevier BV. doi:10.1016/j.ijmst.2014.07.006.
- Sawmliana, C., P. Pal Roy, R. K. Singh, and T. N. Singh. 2007. “Blast Induced Air Overpressure and Its Prediction Using Artificial Neural Network.” *Mining Technology*. SAGE Publications. doi:10.1179/174328607x191065.
- Dumakor-Dupey, Nelson K., Sampurna Arya, and Ankit Jha. 2021. “Advances in Blast-Induced Impact Prediction—A Review of Machine Learning Applications.” *Minerals*. MDPI AG. doi:10.3390/min11060601.
- Anas, S M, Mehtab Alam, and Mohammad Umair. 2021. “Air-Blast and Ground Shockwave Parameters, Shallow Underground Blasting, on the Ground and Buried Shallow Underground

Blast-Resistant Shelters: A Review.” *International Journal of Protective Structures*. SAGE Publications. doi:10.1177/20414196211048910.

- Odeyemi, Olukemi Yetunde, Blessing Olamide Taiwo, and Olarewaju Alaba. 2023. “Influence of Explosive Maximum Instantaneous Charge on Blasting Environmental Impact.” *Journal of Sustainable Mining*. Glowny Instytut Gornictwa (Central Mining Institute). doi:10.46873/2300-3960.1398.
- Khandelwal, Manoj, and T.N. Singh. 2009. “Prediction of Blast-Induced Ground Vibration Using Artificial Neural Network.” *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*. Elsevier BV. doi:10.1016/j.ijrmms.2009.03.004.