

Effects of Weather Change in Various Regions

Ashraf Kotb

Department of Environmental, University in Nairobi, Kenya

Abstract

The study involved respondents (N = 4,500) from four leading polluting nations—China, India, Japan, and the United States—and aimed to examine climate change concerns on a global scale. These countries possess differing levels of vulnerability to climate change and resilience. The study evaluated anxiety associated with climate change using the Global Climate Change Anxiety Scale, a validated measurement tool. The research findings demonstrated consistent design and measurement of the widely used fear indicator linked to rising temperatures across all four countries. It is worth noting that while previous research on climate anxiety has predominantly focused on Western and affluent nations, this study emphasizes the worldwide significance of climate change and its impact on people globally.

Keywords: *fear indicator, rising temperatures, climate anxiety, environmental action, environmentally responsible eating habits, environmental advocacy, preservation of resources, financial support*

Introduction

The study demonstrated that individuals in India and China exhibited more significant concern about the impacts of rising temperatures compared to populations in Japan and the United States. While some regional variations in environmental anxiety were observed, they did not consistently align with specific countries. Across all four countries, there was a strong association between climate change anxiety and a commitment to environmental action, particularly in adopting environmentally responsible eating habits and engaging in environmental advocacy. On the other hand, the preservation of resources and financial support for ecological strategies showed weaker associations. The cognitive-emotional component of environmental anxiety exhibited a more vital link to its impact than the functionally defective component of climate change anxiety. These findings indicate that the Global Climate Change Anxiety Scale can effectively measure environmental concern across countries, highlighting both commonalities and differences in the experience of climate change anxiety within diverse social contexts. Further research should consider these intricacies.

It brings attention to the fact that the impacts of global warming are already being experienced worldwide and are projected to worsen in the future. Urgent action is imperative to both mitigate and adapt to climate change. To achieve the goal set by the Paris Conformity to limit the global temperature increase to 1.7 degrees Celsius, the IPCC (2022) recommends reducing ozone-depleting compound emissions by half before 2030. The need to take preventive measures to avoid potentially catastrophic consequences is even greater than previously anticipated.

Amidst the context of global warming, media stories focusing on individuals' anxiety and concerns about the existential and symbolic threats posed by climate change, as well as their frustration and anger towards authorities and world leaders for inadequate efforts in reducing emissions and adapting, continue to garner attention. These emotional reactions are not limited to individuals directly affected by the adverse impacts of climate change. Still, they can also be observed in those whose lives are not immediately impacted, including individuals residing in developed regions that are resilient to climate

change effects. This finding implies that negative emotional responses can be present even in populations not particularly vulnerable to climate change effects.

In recent years, the scientific community has increasingly focused on the rising issue of fear, anxiety, and apprehension related to climate change, often called climate change anxiety or eco-anxiety. Ongoing scientific investigations aim to determine who experiences global warming anxiety more frequently, its connections to physical and mental health, coping mechanisms, and whether it can motivate action to address climate change [1-5].

Discussion

While previous research has yielded valuable insights, it has primarily focused on samples from Western regions characterized by well-educated, industrialized, wealthy, and democratic societies. This limited scope hampers our ability to understand the subject matter comprehensively. Surprisingly, a systematic review by Coffey et al. between 2010 and 2021 found that only four studies (Clayton & Karazsia, Rudder et al., Searle & Gow, Stanley et al., and Searle & Gow) empirically evaluated climate stress or eco-anxiety, and all four studies exclusively included American participants. Consequently, these studies concluded that more data from underrepresented groups are required to enhance climate or eco-anxiety research, highlighting the need for further investigations. However, it is noteworthy that most of the existing research also relied on samples from WEIRD communities, which are not only limited in number but also less vulnerable to the effects of global warming compared to populations in other countries. Due to their economic strength and geographic position, North America and Europe are somewhat shielded from the severe impacts currently experienced by the African continent, Southeast Asian nations, and island countries.

To advance our understanding of environmental anxiety, it is imperative to incorporate a broader range of regions and cultures in our studies. The existing study goal is to address this gap by conducting a cross-cultural examination of anxiety related to environmental change involving participants from four of the world's most significant contributors to climate change: China, India, Japan, and the United States. These four countries were carefully selected based on various factors, including their emissions levels, geographical location, economic conditions, population size, susceptibility to the consequences of environmental change, and capacity to adapt. Although all four countries significantly contribute to greenhouse gas emissions, their civilizations and ways of life exhibit substantial diversity.

As awareness of the dangers of climate change increases, there is a growing concern worldwide. According to the Citizens' Environmental Vote, the most extensive poll of public opinion on climate change to date, 64% of the 1.2 million participants from 50 nations view climate change as a global emergency. Additionally, a survey conducted by the Yale Program on Climate Change Communication (2021), which involved over 76,000 active Facebook users from 31 countries, found that most respondents in most countries believe they would be significantly or moderately affected by climate change. People are witnessing the consequences of climate change in various ways. Recent studies suggest a growing awareness of climate change's social and cultural impacts. The effects of climate change on both tangible and intangible aspects of human traditions, such as monuments, historically significant sites, populations, knowledge systems, and customs, are already being felt by many individuals [6-10].

Conclusion

Recent research suggests that the anxiety arising from global warming contributes to the adverse effects on mental health caused by severe droughts and climate change-related disasters. It is widely recognized that direct exposure to extreme environmental events harms physical and psychological well-being. Climate change anxiety, while not always unhealthy, has been found to have clinical

implications. This response can be seen as a rational reaction to potential and unfamiliar threats, even though it can have both adaptive and harmful consequences. However, only a limited number of empirical studies have explored the triggers and impacts of climate anxiety. According to a recent systematic review by Coffey et al., only four studies have experimentally examined climate or environmental concern and its correlations.

References

1. Gomez F, Sartaj M (2013) Field scale ex situ bioremediation of petroleum contaminated soil under cold climate conditions. *Int Biodeterior Biodegradation* 85: 375-382.
2. Khudur LS, Shahsavari E, Miranda AF, Morrison PD, Dayanthi Nugegoda D, et al. (2015) Evaluating the efficacy of bioremediating a diesel-contaminated soil using ecotoxicological and bacterial community indices. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 22: 14819.
3. Whelan MJ, Coulon F, Hince G, Rayner J, McWatters R, et al. (2015) Fate and transport of petroleum hydrocarbons in engineered biopiles in polar regions. *Chemosphere* 131: 232-240.
4. Dias RL, Ruberto L, Calabró A, Balbo AL, Del Panno MT, et al. (2015) Hydrocarbon removal and bacterial community structure in on-site biostimulated biopile systems designed for bioremediation of diesel-contaminated Antarctic soil. *Polar Biol* 38: 677-687.
5. Sanscartier D, Zeeb B, Koch I, Reimer (2009) Bioremediation of diesel-contaminated soil by heated and humidified biopile system in cold climates. *Cold Reg Sci Technol* 55:167-173.
6. <https://www.worldcat.org/title/biological-methods-for-assessment-and-remediation-of-contaminated-land-case-studies/oclc/50136350>
7. Coulon F, Al Awadi M, Cowie W, Mardlin D, Pollard S, et al. (2010) When is a soil remediated? Comparison of biopiled and windrowed soils contaminated with bunker-fuel in a full-scale trial. *Environ Pollut* 158: 3032-3040.
8. Hobson AM, Frederickson J, Dise NB (2005) CH₄ and N₂O from mechanically turned windrow and vermicomposting systems following in-vessel pretreatment. *Waste Manag* 25: 345-352.
9. Mohan SV, Sirisha K, Rao NC, Sarma PN, Reddy SJ (2004) Degradation of chlorpyrifos contaminated soil by bioslurry reactor operated in sequencing batch mode: bioprocess monitoring. *J Hazard Mater* 116: 39-48.
10. Nikolopoulou M, Pasadakis N, Norf H, Kalogerakis N (2013) Enhanced ex situ bioremediation of crude oil contaminated beach sand by supplementation with nutrients and rhamnolipids. *Mar Pollut Bull* 77: 37-44.